

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GEORGE M. MITCHELL,

No. C 10-01953 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER DISMISSING CASE


CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
MENTAL HEALTH, *et al.*,

Defendants.

On July 19, 2010, *pro se* plaintiff George Mitchell was ordered to show cause to why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute (Dkt. No. 15). Plaintiff was ordered to file a written response explaining why he did not file an opposition brief to defendants' motion to dismiss and why the motion should not be granted. A generous two and a half week deadline was given to plaintiff to respond. Plaintiff, however, was warned that failure to respond by August 5 will result in the immediate dismissal of his case. It is now August 6 and no response has been filed. For want of prosecution, this case is **DISMISSED**. **THE CLERK SHALL CLOSE THE FILE**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 6, 2010.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE